

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
ANDERSON/GREENWOOD DIVISION

Terry Denise Frans,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	Civil Action No. 8:18-cv-02477-TMC
v.)	
)	ORDER
Andrew Saul,)	
Commissioner of Social Security)	
Administration, ¹)	
)	
Defendant.)	
_____)	

Plaintiff, Terry Denise Frans, brought this action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) seeking judicial review of a final decision of the Commissioner of Social Security (“Commissioner”) denying her claim for Disability Insurance Benefits (“DIB”) and Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) pursuant to the Social Security Act. (ECF No. 1). This matter is before the court for review of the Report and Recommendation (“Report”) of the United States Magistrate Judge, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Civil Rule 73.02(B)(2)(a) (D.S.C.). (ECF No. 21). The Report recommends that the Commissioner’s decision be reversed and remanded for further proceedings consistent with the Report. *Id.* Plaintiff, who is represented by counsel, has not filed objections to the Report. On August 15, 2019, the Commissioner filed a notice of his intent not to file any objections to the Report. (ECF No. 23).

The Report has no presumptive weight and the responsibility to make a final determination in this matter remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). In the absence of objections, this court is not required to provide an explanation for adopting the

¹ Andrew Saul is now the Commissioner of Social Security and is automatically substitute as a party pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(d). *See also* 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

Report. *See Camby v. Davis*, 718 F.2d 198, 199 (4th Cir. 1983). Rather, “in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005).

After a thorough and careful review of the record under the appropriate standards as set forth above, the court adopts the Report of the Magistrate Judge (ECF No. 21), which is incorporated herein by reference. The Commissioner’s final decision is **REVERSED AND REMANDED** pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) for further administrative review as set forth in the Report.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Timothy M. Cain
Timothy M. Cain
United States District Judge

Anderson, South Carolina
August 20, 2019